

Safety, Quality , Productivites And Costs Savings

| | | | |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|
| 1 Continious Roll Out, Plasma Beveling And Auto Welding Method | | | |
| 1 | Constructibility And Maximum Perimeter/Congifuration | | |
| 1.01 | Maximum Size of the Tank | 22 | m dia |
| 1.02 | Maximum Height | NO LIMITATION | m |
| 1.03 | Maximum Thickness(Tank Wall) | 12 | mm (25mm in development) |
| 1.04 | Usage of Tank | Wide range from food to high valued chemical medium | |
| 1.041 | Controlled Weld Joints | 1 | horizontal joint per ring |
| 1.042 | Controlled Weld Joints | 1 | vertical joint(ring to ring) |
| 1.05 | Joint Preparation and Weld Joints | Plasma Welding (no bevelling required) | |

| | | | |
|--|-------------------|------|-------|
| 3 EXAMPLE OF CONSTRUCTION METHODOLOGY COMPARISON | | | |
| 3.01 Comparison With Conventional Tank Construction | | | |
| 3.02 | No of Tank | 25 | no |
| 3.03 | Average Thickness | 5.8 | mm |
| 3.04 | Average Size | 6.85 | m Dia |
| 3.05 | Average Height | 13.8 | m |

| | | | | | |
|-------|--|---|--|--|---|
| 3.11 | Headers | Continious Roll Out, Plasma Beveling And Auto Welding Method | | Conventional Plate-By-By Manual Bevelling and Welding | |
| 3.111 | Code | API650 | | API650 | |
| 3.112 | Material | Stainless Steel | | Carbon Steel | |
| 3.113 | Plates | Single Continuous Plate Per Ring | | 6m Length Per Piece, Conventional Rolled Out, More than one plate To Form One Ring | |
| 3.114 | Safety-Working Height | 1.2 to 2m from the Ground (Always At Low Level) | | 13.8 | m (top level) Follow The Height Of Construction |
| 3.115 | Safety-Construction Method | Top Down Construction (Minimize Working At Height) | | Bottom Up Construction (Exposure To Working At Height Risks) | |
| 3.116 | QA/QC-Horizontal Weld (Ring to Ring) | 1 | Concurrent Automation (bevelling and lazer weld) | 5 | Manual bevelling and manual welding |
| 3.117 | QA/QC-Vertical Weld Per Ring | 1 | Double Sided Weld (tandem welding) | 1 | Single Sided Weld |
| 3.118 | QA/QC-Weld Quality | Better and Consistent Weld Quality | | Conventional Quality Depend On Skill Of Welder | |
| 3.119 | QA/QC-Concurrent Multiple Tank Construction | 4 to 5 Tanks Concurrently ("Conveyor Belt System"), Better QA/QC | | 1 At A Time, Highly Dependent Of Workers Skill And Source | |
| 3.12 | QA/QC-Locality of Tank Construction | Built Off Site/At Temporary Laydown Area/Yards | | At Final Tank location. If base foundation are not ready erection cannot start. | |
| 3.121 | QA/QC-Civil and Other Associated Works | More Focus and Better Quality | | Tight Schedule Works, More Risks In QA/QC | |
| 3.122 | Productivity-Construction Schedule | Concurrent Activites for Tankage, Civil and Other Associated Works, Shorter Period | | Tight Schedule Works, Bottle Neck And Possible Delays | |
| 3.123 | Productivity-Manpower | 70 to 80 Workforce | | 250 to 300 Workforce | |
| 3.124 | Cost Savings - Less Costs , HR And Social Issues | Less Manpower, Less MYE, Less Levies, Less Accomodation, Transportation And Welfare For Workers | | More Costs and More HR Issues | |
| 3.125 | Cost Savings - Scaffolding | Reduced height of working and standing time of the scaffolding, less costs | | Conventional Full Height Scaffolding And Standing Time, More Costs | |
| 3.126 | | Top Down Construction Reduce Requirement of Massive Scaffolding Requirements and Coordination | | Bottom Up Construction, More Scaffoldings And Height Requirement and Coordination | |
| 3.127 | Cost Savings-Painting And Repainting | Stainless Steel Tanks Requires Much Lesser Maintenance And Costs Thereof | | Carbon Steel Requires More Routine Maintenance, Repainting And Costs Thereof. If Compare With Stainless Steel Convetional Method, Costs of Conventional Method Are Much More | |
| 3.128 | Maintenance | Stainless Steel Tanks Requires Much Lesser Maintenance And Costs Thereof | | Carbon Steel Requires More Routine Maintenance, Repainting And Costs Thereof. If Compare With Stainless Steel Convetional Method, Costs of Conventional Method | |